

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF CASS COUNTY, MISSOURI

SHAWN HORNBECK and  
MONTE BURGESS, each on behalf of )  
himself and others similarly situated; )  
 )  
Plaintiff, )

Case No. \_\_\_\_\_

v. )

ORSCHELN FARM AND HOME, LLC )  
d/b/a ORSCHELN FARM AND HOME )  
Serve Registered Agent: )  
James L. O’Loughlin )  
2000 US Highway 63 South )  
Moberly, MO 65270 )

-and- )

CITGO PETROLEUM CORPORATION )  
Serve Registered Agent )  
CT Corporation System )  
120 South Central Ave. )  
Clayton, MO 63105 )  
 )  
Defendants. )

**CLASS ACTION PETITION**

COME NOW Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, and for their causes of action state and allege as follows:

**PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff Shawn Hornbeck is a citizen and resident of Peculiar, Missouri.
2. Plaintiff Monte Burgess is a citizen and resident of Belton, Missouri.
3. Defendant Orscheln Farm and Home, LLC (Orscheln) is a for-profit limited liability company with its principal place of business in Moberly, Missouri. Defendant Orscheln

has advertised and sold its products in Cass County and throughout the State of Missouri at its Orscheln Farm and Home retail stores.

4. Defendant Citgo Petroleum Company (Citgo) is a for-profit company authorized to do business in the State of Missouri with its principal place of business in Houston, Texas. Defendant Citgo Petroleum has advertised and sold its products, including its Premium 303 Tractor Hydraulic Fluid and its MileMaster 303 Tractor Hydraulic Fluid, in Cass County and throughout the State of Missouri, including at Orscheln Farm and Home retail stores.

5. Defendants' conduct has harmed consumers like Plaintiffs by inducing them to purchase and use "303" tractor hydraulic fluid products, more specifically Premium 303 and MileMaster 303 (303 THF Products) on the false promise that the 303 THF Products meet certain specifications and by directly or implicitly representing that the products are safe for use in farm, construction and logging equipment and have certain characteristics and qualities that protect equipment from wear and damage when, in reality, the products do not meet any specifications and cause harm, increased wear and damage to consumers' equipment.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

6. Pursuant to § 508.010.4 RSMo, Cass County Circuit Court, State of Missouri, is an appropriate venue because the false representations, deceptive, dishonest, and misleading practices, and the unjust enrichment, occurred in Cass County and elsewhere in the State of Missouri.

7. The Circuit Court of Cass County, Missouri has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants transact business in Missouri, with their various advertising methods and product sales directed toward Missouri residents. Additionally, Plaintiffs purchased the products at issue in Cass County, Missouri.

8. This is a civil case in which the Cass County Circuit Court, State of Missouri, has jurisdiction pursuant to Mo. Const., Art. V. § 14.

9. The total amount in controversy as to each Plaintiff and each individual Member of the proposed Class alleged herein does not exceed seventy-four thousand nine hundred ninety-nine dollars (\$74,999.00), including treble damages, punitive damages, interest, costs, and attorneys' fees. Each Plaintiff specifically disclaims any relief, whether in law or in equity, in excess of \$74,999. In addition, neither the Plaintiffs nor any Member of the proposed Class assert any federal question.

10. The proposed Class in this case includes only those persons who purchased the products at issue in the State of Missouri. The amount in controversy for all proposed Class Members does not exceed five million dollars (\$5,000,000.00).

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS**

11. Defendant Orscheln is in the business of selling and advertising for sale certain merchandise or retail products in trade or commerce at retail stores within Cass County and other Counties throughout the State of Missouri.

12. Defendant Citgo is in the business of manufacturing certain merchandise or retail products which are to be sold in trade or commerce at retail stores within Cass County and other Counties throughout the State of Missouri.

13. During some or all of the five year period prior to the filing of this Class Action Petition, Defendant Orscheln sold and advertised 303 THF Products in yellow buckets called Premium 303 Tractor Hydraulic Fluid and MileMaster 303 Tractor Hydraulic Fluid.

14. During some or all of the five year period prior to the filing of this Class Action Petition, Defendant Citgo manufactured and advertised the Premium 303 Tractor Hydraulic Fluid and MileMaster 303 Tractor Hydraulic Fluid sold by Orscheln.

**Defendants' Deceptive Marketing and Advertising**

15. During some or all of the five year period prior to the filing of this Class Action Petition, Defendants Orscheln and Citgo marketed the Premium 303 Tractor Hydraulic Fluid and the MileMaster 303 Tractor Hydraulic Fluid (1) as universal lubricants for farm logging and construction equipment; (2) as meeting specifications and being acceptable for use as hydraulic fluid, transmission fluid, and gear oil in older tractors and other equipment; (3) as substitutes for and satisfying John Deere's JD-303 specifications; (4) as fluids specifically formulated with base oils and additives designed to help protect against wear, rust, corrosion and foaming; and (5) as fluids that may be used as a replacement fluid in select models where 303 fluid is acceptable, including in equipment made by Allis-Chalmers, Massey, Ferguson, White, Allison, Case, International Harvester, Kubota, John Deere, New Holland, Ford and Caterpillar.

16. Defendants' marketing and advertising of the 303 THF Products has been widespread, continuous and contained on various signs, labels and advertisements throughout the State of Missouri for years. Representative examples of Defendants' marketing and advertising materials are set forth below.

17. MileMaster 303 Tractor Hydraulic Fluid was specifically marketed and advertised as follows:

**MileMaster 303 Tractor Hydraulic Fluid**

**DESCRIPTION:**

MileMaster 303 Tractor Hydraulic Fluid is a tractor hydraulic/transmission fluid for farm tractors, logging and construction equipment where transmission, final drive, hydraulics and wet brakes use a common fluid.

**QUALITIES:**

MileMaster 303 Tractor Hydraulic Fluid is a general purpose multi-grade tractor lubricant for farm, construction and logging equipment. It is specifically formulated with base oils and additives designed to help protect against wear, rust, corrosion and foaming. It may be used as a replacement fluid in select models where 303 fluid (mid-1970 model years and prior) is acceptable.

**APPLICATIONS:**

MileMaster 303 Tractor Hydraulic Fluid may be used as a replacement fluid in select models where 303 fluid is acceptable, including:

Allis-Chalmers	International Harvester
Massey	Kubota
Ferguson	John Deere
White	New Holland
Allison	Ford
Case	Caterpillar

Not recommended for modern equipment. Refer to owner's manual for recommended oil selection.

18. Premium 303 was specifically marketing and advertised as follows:



19. These representations were also contained in Defendants' advertising circulars and/or on Defendants' websites.

20. By marketing and advertising the 303 THF Products in the foregoing manner, and by describing the products using words such as "general purpose" and "universal," Defendants

have created an image in the minds of Plaintiffs and other consumers that would lead a reasonable consumer to conclude that Defendants' 303 THF Products were completely safe and effective for use in consumers' equipment.

21. Defendants' advertising and marketing of their 303 THF Products was material to the reasonable consumer.

22. At the time of Defendants' advertisements, marketing and other representations, and as Defendants already knew, the representations regarding the 303 THF Products were false, deceptive and misleading to consumers seeking to purchase tractor hydraulic fluid.

23. The John Deere "303" designation is over 50 years old and has been obsolete for many years. Defendants knew that at the time they were marketing and selling the 303 THF Products during the Class Period, there were no specifications available for "303" tractor hydraulic fluid and, therefore, no way to ensure the accuracy of representations that their 303 THF Products were in compliance with any known specifications.

24. As Defendants knew, the 303 THF Products manufactured by Defendants and sold in the yellow buckets lacked some or all of the additives required to provide the advertised "qualities."

25. As Defendants knew, the 303 THF Products manufactured by Defendants and sold in the yellow buckets did not meet any current specs for any manufacturers of farm, logging and construction equipment.

#### **The State of Missouri's Testing of 303 THF**

26. In the summer of 2017, the Missouri Department of Agriculture sampled 14 different 303 THF products, many of which claimed to work in almost every tractor. All 14 of

these 303 THF products failed to meet any current specifications and were found to be underperforming to the point that damage was likely to result from use.

27. The Missouri Department of Agriculture notified the retailers, including Defendant Orscheln, that the products were misbranded because they failed to meet any current tractor manufacturer's specifications.

28. On information and belief, Premium 303 and MileMaster 303 were among the 303 THF products the Missouri Department of Agriculture tested and that failed to meet any current specifications and were likely to cause damage to equipment.

29. In November and December of 2017, Orscheln provided the following "Advertising Correction Notice:"

Unfortunately we have just been informed by the Department of Agriculture State of Missouri that any 303 Tractor Hydraulic & Transmission Fluid must be pulled from our inventory and cannot be sold. Please accept our apologies for any inconvenience.

Due to this action by the Department of Agriculture State of Missouri, Orscheln Farm & Home is issuing an advertisement correction notice for the flyers listed below featuring a 303 Tractor Hydraulic & Transmission Fluid. All 303 Tractor Hydraulic & Transmission Fluid is no longer in stock in Missouri.

#### **Plaintiffs' Experience with Defendants' Advertising and Products**

30. In the five year period prior to the filing of this Class Action Petition, Plaintiffs purchased Defendants' 303 THF Products on numerous occasions, primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.

31. As with all members of the Class, in the five year period prior to the filing of this Class Action Petition, Plaintiffs purchased Defendants' 303 THF Products upon the representations set forth above.

32. Plaintiff Shawn Hornbeck purchased and used Orscheln Premium 303 which was manufactured by Citgo Petroleum and sold by Orscheln. In the fall of 2015, Shawn purchased three 5-gallon buckets of Orscheln Premium 303 at Orscheln's located in Raymore, Missouri. The cost was \$23.00 each (approximately \$70 with tax). In the spring of 2016, Shawn purchased one more 5-gallon bucket of Orscheln Premium 303 at the same Orscheln's location in Raymore, Missouri. The cost was \$23 plus tax.

33. These 303 THF Products were used by Plaintiff Hornbeck for personal use on the following equipment: John Deere Skid Steer Loader Hydraulic System, John Deere B Tractor, JD 50 Tractor Hydraulic Lift, Hay Wagon Lift Cylinder, Log Splitter Hydraulic Tank, 1952 Chevy Grain Truck Lift Cylinder, and 1958 International Dump Lift System.

34. Plaintiff Monte Burgess purchased and used MileMaster 303 Tractor Hydraulic Fluid which was manufactured by Citgo Petroleum and sold by Orscheln. In the past five years, Monte purchased 5-gallon buckets of MileMaster 303 at Orscheln's located in Raymore, Missouri. Plaintiff paid approximately \$25 for each bucket.

35. These 303 THF Products were used by Plaintiff Burgess for personal use on the following equipment: tractors, mowers, dump trucks, brush hogs, farm implements, skidders and log splitters.

36. Plaintiffs reasonably relied upon Defendants' own statements and advertisements concerning the particular qualities and benefits of the 303 THF Products.

37. A reasonable consumer would consider Defendants' statements and advertisements when looking to purchase a tractor hydraulic fluid. As a result of using Defendants' 303 THF Products, Plaintiffs and Class Members: (a) paid a sum of money for a product that was not as represented; (b) received a lesser product than advertised and marketed;



(c) were deprived of the benefit of the bargain because the 303 THF Products were different than what Defendants represented; (d) were deprived of the benefit of the bargain because the 303 THF Products had less value than what was represented; and (e) did not receive a product that measured up to their expectations as created by Defendants.

38. When Defendants Orscheln and Citgo manufactured, marketed, advertised, distributed, and sold Plaintiffs and Class Members their 303 THF Products, Defendants knew or should have known those products (1) did not meet specifications and were not acceptable for use as hydraulic fluid, transmission fluid, or gear oil in older tractors and other equipment; (2) were not appropriate as a substitute for and did not satisfy John Deere's JD-303 specifications (specifications which were obsolete and did not exist); (3) were not fluids specifically formulated with base oils and additives designed to help protect against wear, rust, corrosion and foaming; and (4) were not fluid that could be appropriately used as a replacement fluid in select models where 303 fluid is acceptable, including in equipment made by Allis-Chalmers, Massey, Ferguson, White, Allison, Case, International Harvester, Kubota, John Deere, New Holland, Ford and Caterpillar.

39. Plaintiffs used the 303 THF Products in the manner in which Defendants advised it could and should be used.

40. As a result of Defendants' 303 THF Products not meeting specifications as advertised, marketed, warranted, and promised, Defendants violated the Missouri Merchandising Practices Act, fraudulently or negligently induced Plaintiffs and Class Members to purchase their products through material misrepresentations, and were unjustly enriched.

41. This action is brought by Plaintiffs against Defendants to recover all money paid by Plaintiffs and Class Members to Defendants for purchase of their 303 THF Products which

were marketed, advertised, and sold in the dishonest, misleading, and deceptive manners noted herein.

### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

42. Plaintiffs bring this Class Action pursuant to Missouri Rules of Civil Procedure 52.08, on behalf of themselves and the following Class of similarly situated persons:

All individuals who purchased Orscheln Premium 303 Tractor Hydraulic Fluid and/or MileMaster 303 Tractor Hydraulic Fluid in Missouri at any point in time from May 25, 2013 to present.

43. Excluded from the Class are Defendants, including any parent, subsidiary, affiliate or controlled person of Defendants; Defendants' officers, directors, agents, employees and their immediate family members, as well as the judicial officers assigned to this litigation and members of their staffs and immediate families.

44. The 303 THF Products at issue were sold across Missouri through retailers. The Class Members may be identified through use of sales receipts, affidavits, or through sales records.

45. The proposed Class is so numerous that joinder of all Class Members is impracticable. Although the exact number and identity of each Class Member is not known at this time, there are thousands of Members of the Class.

46. There are questions of fact and law common to the Class which predominate over questions affecting only individual Class Members. The questions of law and fact common to each Class arising from Defendants' actions include, without limitation, the following:

- a. Whether Defendants' 303 THF Products were being advertised and marketed as alleged in paragraphs 15-20, above;
- b. Whether Defendants' 303 THF Products in actuality were as alleged in paragraphs 22-29 and 37-38, above;

- c. Whether Defendants' manufacturing, advertising, marketing, and/or sale of their 303 THF Products was deceptive, unfair, and/or dishonest;
  - d. Whether Defendants' representations regarding their 303 THF Products were false and made knowingly by Defendants, and were therefore deceptions, frauds, false pretenses, false promises, and/or misrepresentations as described in 407.020 RSMo and a violation thereof;
  - e. Whether Defendants' representations were false and made negligently by Defendants, and were therefore deceptions, frauds, false pretenses, false promises, and/or misrepresentations as described in 407.020 RSMo and a violation thereof; and,
  - f. Whether Defendants were unjustly enriched.
47. Each Plaintiff's claims are typical of those in the putative Class because each purchased Defendants' 303 THF Products and was similarly treated.
48. Plaintiffs are adequate representatives of the Class because their interests do not conflict with the interests of other Members of the Class. The interests of the other Class Members will be fairly and adequately protected by Plaintiffs and counsel, who have extensive experience prosecuting complex litigation and class actions.
49. A Class Action is the appropriate method for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. It would be impracticable, cost prohibitive, and undesirable for each member of the Class to bring a separate action. In addition, the presentation of separate actions by individual Class Members creates the risk of inconsistent and varying adjudications, establishes incompatible standards of conduct for Defendants, and/or substantially impairs or impedes the ability of Class Members to protect their interests. A single Class Action can determine, with judicial economy, the right of the Members of the Class.

50. A Class Action is superior with respect to considerations of consistency, economy, efficiency, fairness and equity, to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

51. Class certification is also appropriate because Defendants have acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class. The Class Action is based on Defendants' acts and omissions with respect to the Class as a whole, not on facts or law applicable only to the representative Plaintiffs. All Class Members who purchased Defendants' products were treated similarly. Thus, all Class Members have the same legal right to an interest in relief for damages associated with the violations enumerated herein.

52. Plaintiffs assert in Counts I through VI, below, the following claims on behalf of themselves and the Class:

- Count I – Missouri Merchandising Practices Act Violations
- Count II – Breach of Express Warranty
- Count III – Breach of Implied Warranty
- Count IV – Fraud/Misrepresentation
- Count V – Negligence
- Count VI – Unjust Enrichment

#### **COUNT I**

**(Violations of Missouri's Merchandising Practices Act)**

**(Plaintiffs and All Class Members Who Purchased For Personal, Family, Household Use)**

53. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs of the Class Action Petition as if fully set forth herein.

54. Plaintiffs and many Class Members purchased Defendants' 303 THF Products for personal, family, or household purposes.

55. Defendants' representations set forth in paragraphs 15-20, above, including without limitation the representations that the 303 THF Products were fit to be used in older tractors and other equipment, and that the 303 THF Products met specifications, were unfair, deceptive, false and misleading and made knowingly by Defendants or without knowledge as to their truth or falsity and were therefore deceptions, frauds, false pretenses, false promises, and misrepresentations as described at § 407.020 RSMo, and therefore a violation of the Missouri Merchandising Practices Act.

56. Defendants' representations set forth in paragraphs 15-20, above, including without limitation the representations that the 303 THF Products were fit to be used in older tractors and other equipment, and that the 303 THF products met specifications, also constituted the omission or suppression of a material fact in violation of § 407.020 RSMo in that Defendants' 303 THF Products were not appropriate for use and did not meet specification as advertised, marketed, and sold.

57. Defendants' conduct as set forth herein with regard to the marketing and sale of 303 THF Products constitute unlawful, unfair and/or fraudulent business practices in violation of the Missouri Merchandising Practices Act, §§ 407.010 *et seq.*

58. Defendants engaged in unlawful practices including deception, false promises, misrepresentation, and/or the concealment, suppression or omission of material facts in connection with the marketing and sale of 303 THF Products, all in violation of §407.020 RSMo.

59. Plaintiffs and Class Members have suffered economic and other damages as a result of Defendants' conduct with regard to the marketing and sale of 303 THF Products.

60. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' illegal conduct, Plaintiffs and Class Members have suffered ascertainable losses of money.

61. Defendants' conduct as described herein was intentional and in violation of § 407.020 RSMo, and the regulations of the Attorney General of Missouri promulgated thereunder.

62. Defendants' conduct is such that an award of punitive damages against each Defendant is appropriate.

**COUNT II**  
**(Breach of Express Warranty – Plaintiffs and All Class Members)**

63. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs of the Class Action Petition as if fully set forth herein.

64. Plaintiffs and Class Members purchased Defendants' 303 THF Products.

65. As set forth above, Defendants made common statements of facts regarding the quality and use of the THF 303 Products.

66. The common statements Defendants made regarding the 303 THF Products were a material factor in inducing Plaintiffs and Class Members to purchase the 303 THF Products and therefore became part of the basis of the benefit of the bargain and an express warranty.

67. Plaintiffs and the Class Members reasonably relied on the common statements of fact.

68. As set forth above, the THF 303 Products did not conform to the statements of Defendants. As a result, Plaintiffs and the Class Members did not receive goods as warranted by Defendants.

69. Defendants have received timely notifications of the defects in their THF 303 Products.

70. The failure of the THF 303 Products to conform to the statements of Defendants has caused injury to Plaintiffs and Class Members.

**COUNT III**

**(Breach of Implied Warranty – Plaintiffs and All Class Members)**

71. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs of the Class Action Petition as if fully set forth herein.

72. Defendants directly or indirectly sold the 303 THF Products to Plaintiffs and Class Members for use as described above.

73. As set forth above, at the time Defendants sold the 303 THF Products, those products were not fit for their ordinary use and the use described by Defendants.

74. Plaintiffs and Class Members used the 303 THF Products for their ordinary purpose and the use described by Defendants.

75. Defendants have received timely notification of the defect in their 303 THF Products.

76. The failure of the 303 THF Products to be fit for their ordinary purpose has cause injury to Plaintiffs and Class Members.

**COUNT IV**

**(Fraudulent Misrepresentation – Plaintiffs and All Class Members)**

77. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs of the Class Action Petition as if fully set forth herein.

78. Defendants made representations regarding their 303 THF Products, as set forth above, including without limitation the representations that the 303 THF Products were fit to be used in older tractors and other equipment, that the 303 THF Products met specifications, and that the 303 THF Products provided certain qualities, results and benefits, were false and made knowingly by Defendants, and were therefore fraudulent.

79. Defendants' representations set forth above, including without limitation the representations that the 303 THF Products were fit to be used in older tractors and other equipment, that the 303 THF Products met specifications, and that the 303 THF Products provided certain qualities, results and benefits, were false and made knowingly by Defendants, and were therefore fraudulent.

80. Defendants' representations as set forth above, including without limitation the representations that the 303 THF Products were fit to be used in older tractors and other equipment, and that the 303 THF Products met specifications, and that the 303 THF Products provided certain qualities, results and benefits, were made by each Defendant with the intent that Plaintiffs and other Class Members rely on such representations.

81. Defendants' representations as set forth above, including without limitation the representations that the 303 THF Products were fit to be used in older tractors and other equipment, that the 303 THF Products met specifications, and that the 303 THF Products provided certain qualities, results and benefits, were made by each Defendant despite knowing the representations were false at the time the representations were made, and/or without knowledge of the truth or falsity of the representations.

82. Defendants' representations were material to the purchase of 303 THF Products.

83. Plaintiffs and Class Members relied on Defendants' representations, and such reliance was reasonable under the circumstances.

84. Defendants' conduct as set forth herein with regard to the marketing and sale of 303 THF Products constitutes fraud on Plaintiffs and all Class Members.

85. Plaintiffs and Class Members have been economically damaged by Defendants' fraudulent conduct with regard to the marketing and sale of 303 THF Products.



86. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' illegal conduct, Plaintiffs and Class Members have suffered ascertainable losses of money and other damages.

87. Defendants' conduct as described herein was intentional and/or in reckless disregard for the rights of Plaintiffs and other Class Members.

88. Defendants' conduct is such that an award of punitive damages against each Defendant is appropriate.

**COUNT V**  
**(Negligent Misrepresentation – Plaintiffs and All Class Members)**

89. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs of the Class Action Petition as if fully set forth herein.

90. Defendants made representations regarding their 303 THF Products, as set forth above, including without limitation the representations that the 303 THF Products were fit to be used in older tractors and other equipment, that the 303 THF Products met specifications, and that the 303 THF Products provided certain qualities, results and benefits, were false and made knowingly by Defendants, and were therefore fraudulent.

91. Such representations were made by Defendants with the intent that Plaintiffs and the Class Members rely on such representations in purchasing Defendants' 303 THF Products.

92. Such representations were material to Plaintiffs' and the Class Members' purchase of Defendants' 303 THF Products.

93. Such representations were false.

94. Defendants failed to use ordinary care and were negligent in making and/or allowing to be made the representations set forth above.

95. Plaintiffs and the Class Members relied on such representations and such reliance was reasonable under the circumstances.

96. Plaintiffs and Class Members have been economically damaged by Defendants' negligent conduct with regard to the marketing and sale of 303 THF Products.

97. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligent conduct, Plaintiffs and Class Members have suffered ascertainable losses of money.

**COUNT VI**  
**(Unjust Enrichment – Plaintiffs and All Class Members)**

98. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs of the Class Action Petition as if fully set forth herein.

99. As a result of Defendants' deceptive, fraudulent, and misleading advertising, marketing, and sales of 303 THF Products, Plaintiffs and the Class Members purchased Defendants' 303 THF Products and conferred a benefit upon Defendants, which Defendants appreciated and accepted.

100. Defendants were enriched at the expense of Plaintiffs and other Class Members through the payment of the purchase price for Defendants' 303 THF Products.

101. Under the circumstances, it would be against equity and good conscience to permit Defendants to retain the ill-gotten benefits that they received from Plaintiffs and the other Class Members, in light of the fact that the 303 THF Products purchased by Plaintiffs and the other Members of the Class were not what Defendants represented them to be. Thus, it would be inequitable or unjust for Defendants to retain the benefit without restitution to Plaintiffs and the other Members of the Class for the monies paid to Defendants for the 303 THF Products.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the Class described in this Class Action Petition, respectfully requests that:

- A. The Court certify the Class pursuant to Rule 52.08 and § 407.025 RSMo, and adjudge Plaintiffs and counsel to be adequate representative thereof;

- B. The Court enter an Order requiring each Defendant to pay actual and punitive damages to Plaintiffs and the other Members of the Class;
- C. The Court enter an Order awarding Plaintiffs, individually and on behalf of the other Members of the Class, their expenses and costs of suit, including reasonable attorneys' fees and reimbursement of reasonable expenses, to the extent provided by law;
- D. The Court enter an Order awarding to Plaintiffs, individually and on behalf of other Members of the Class, pre-and post-judgment interest, to the extent allowable; and,
- E. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiffs hereby demand a jury trial on all issues of fact and damages in this action.

Date: May 25, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

WHITE, GRAHAM, BUCKLEY,  
& CARR, L.L.C

BY:           /s/ Bryan T. White          

Gene P. Graham, Jr. MO Bar #34950  
Bryan T. White MO Bar #58805  
19049 East Valley View Parkway  
Independence, Missouri 64055  
(816) 373-9080 Fax: (816) 373-9319  
[ggraham@wagblaw.com](mailto:ggraham@wagblaw.com)  
[bwhite@wagblaw.com](mailto:bwhite@wagblaw.com)

-and-

HORN AYLWARD & BANDY, LLC

BY: /s/ Dirk Hubbard  
Thomas V. Bender, MO Bar #28099  
Dirk Hubbard, MO Bar #37936  
2600 Grand, Ste. 1100  
Kansas City, MO 64108  
(816) 421-0700  
(816) 421-0899 (Fax)  
[dhubbard@hab-law.com](mailto:dhubbard@hab-law.com)

-and-

CLAYTON JONES, ATTORNEY AT LAW

BY: /s/ Clayton A. Jones  
Clayton Jones MO Bar #51802  
P.O. Box 257  
405 W. 58 Hwy.  
Raymore, MO 64083  
Office: (816) 318-4266  
Fax: (816) 318-4267  
[claytonjoneslaw.com](http://claytonjoneslaw.com)

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS  
AND CLASS MEMBERS**